Amiodarone Extraction by the Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Circuit
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**Abstract:** Amiodarone is an anti-arrhythmic agent that is frequently used to treat tachycardias in critically ill adults and children. Because of physicochemical properties of amiodarone, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) circuits are expected to extract amiodarone from circulation, increasing the risk of therapeutic failure. The present study seeks to determine amiodarone extraction by the ECMO circuit. Amiodarone was administered to three ex vivo circuit configurations (n = 3 per configuration) to determine the effect of each circuit component on drug extraction. The circuits were primed with human blood; standard amiodarone doses were administered; and serial samples were collected over 24 hours. Additional circuits were primed with crystalloid fluid to analyze the effect of blood on extraction and to investigate circuit saturation by drug. The crystalloid circuits were dosed multiple times over 72 hours, including a massive dose at 48 hours. For both setups, the flow was set to 1 L/min. Drug was added to separate tubes containing the prime solution to serve as controls. Drug concentrations were quantified with a validated assay, and drug recovery was calculated for each sample. Mean recovery for the circuits and controls were compared to correct for drug degradation over time. Amiodarone was heavily extracted by all ECMO circuit configurations. Eight hours after dosing, mean recovery in the blood prime circuits was 13.5–22.1%. In the crystalloid prime circuits, drug recovery decreased even more rapidly, with a mean recovery of 22.0% at 30 minutes. Similarly, drug recovery decreased more quickly in the crystalloid prime controls than in the blood prime controls. Saturation was not achieved in the crystalloid prime circuits, as final amiodarone concentrations were at the lower limit of quantification. The results suggest that amiodarone is rapidly extracted by the ECMO circuit and that saturation is not achieved by standard doses. In vivo circuit extraction may cause decreased drug exposure.
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concentration is measured over time. Changes in drug concentration are due to either circuit extraction or drug degradation. Prior studies have used this setup to investigate the effect of ECMO on the pharmacokinetics (PK) of antimicrobials and sedatives (8–19).

Amiodarone is highly lipophilic (logP 7.2) and protein-bound (96%) and likely to be extracted by the ECMO circuit. Limited clinical data from case reports suggest higher doses are needed to achieve therapeutic exposure (1,20–22). Based on these physicochemical properties, its exceptionally long half-life, and its frequency of use in conjunction with ECMO, we designed a study to investigate the extraction of amiodarone by each component of an ECMO circuit.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Circuit Configurations

Three different ECMO circuit configurations were used to determine the contribution of each circuit component to drug extraction (Figure 1). The complete circuit included a 10-fr Bio-Medicus arterial cannula (Medtronic, Dublin, Ireland), phosphorylcholine-coated polyvinyl chloride (PVC) Smart Tubing (Sorin, Saluggia, Italy), a Revolution centrifugal pump (Sorin), a DHF0.2 hemofilter (Sorin), and a Quadrox iD polymethylpentene adult oxygenator (Getinge, Gothenburg, Sweden). The oxygenator circuit was identical, except that it lacked a hemofilter. Consequently, any difference in extraction between the complete and oxygenator circuits was attributed to the hemofilter. Similarly, the pump circuit lacked an oxygenator and a hemofilter. So, any difference between the oxygenator and pump circuits was due to the oxygenator. Each configuration was tested in triplicate.

Circuit Setup

The circuits were assembled according to standard clinical practice. Both blood prime and crystalloid prime circuits were used. The blood prime for the complete and oxygenator circuits consisted of 1 unit of packed human red blood cells (~350 mL), .5 units of human fresh human frozen plasma (~175 mL), and Plasma-Lyte A crystalloid (Baxter Healthcare, Deerfield, IL) (500 mL). When possible, recently expired blood products were used to limit the effect on the hospital supply of banked blood. In addition, heparin sodium (500 units), sodium bicarbonate (7 mEq), tromethamine (2 g), calcium gluconate (650 mg), and albumin (12.5 g) were added to prevent coagulation and to mimic physiologic conditions. All prime solution components added to the pump circuits were scaled down to 2/3 of what was used in the other circuit configurations because the oxygenator itself holds ~1/3 of the prime solution volume. In summary, a total of nine blood prime circuits were tested: three in the complete configuration, three in the oxygenator configuration, and three in the pump configuration.

The three crystalloid prime circuits were assembled according to the oxygenator configuration. The prime solution consisted of Plasma-Lyte A (1,000 mL), sodium bicarbonate (7 mEq), tromethamine (2 g), calcium gluconate (650 mg), and albumin (12.5 g). Similarly, all volumes were scaled down 2/3 for the pump circuit.

The circuits were completed with a reservoir, which was a double-spiked IV bag with sufficient volume to prevent air from entering the circuit. As is typical for a 10-kg child, ECMO flow was set to 1 L/min, which was measured post-oxygenator with an ultrasonic flowmeter (Sorin). A Cincinnati Sub-Zero Hemotherm (Terumo Cardiovascular, Ann Arbor, MI) was used to maintain a constant temperature of 37°C within the setup for the complete and oxygenator circuits. In the pump circuit, heating pads were wrapped around the reservoir and tubing and adjusted to hold a constant 37°C. pH and temperature were monitored in real time using a CDI Blood Parameter Monitoring System (Terumo Cardiovascular). Sodium bicarbonate via the drug administration port and/or carbon dioxide via the sweep gas were added to maintain physiologic pH (7.2–7.5).

Control

For both the blood prime and crystalloid prime setups, three controls were analyzed to determine natural drug degradation over time. The controls consisted of a PVC test tube filled with 30 mL of the ECMO prime solution.
Collection of the prime solution from the ECMO circuit occurred before drug administration but after ≥5 minutes of ECMO circulation to ensure adequate mixing. Thus, the prime solution in the control was identical to that in the ECMO circuit. The control tubes were capped and held in a 37°C water bath for the duration of the experiment.

**Drug Administration and Sample Collection**

Amiodarone was dosed into the ECMO circuit via a port in the arterial cannula to achieve a therapeutic concentration of 3 μg/mL (therapeutic range for amiodarone 1.5–4 μg/mL) (23,24). All doses were proportionally adjusted by volume to achieve the same drug concentration in the circuits and controls. Drug injection occurred at time = 0. For the controls, drug was introduced into the test tube at time = −5 minutes, after which the tubes were immediately capped and placed in a gentle rotator. At time = 0, the tubes were returned to the 37°C water bath for the remainder of the experiment.

Samples were collected at 1, 5, 15, and 30 minutes and 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours for the blood prime circuits and controls. The three separate crystalloid prime circuits and controls were tested for 72 hours, with multiple dosing time points. Standard dosing to 3 μg/mL occurred at 0, 24, 30, 36, and 42 hours, and a large dose that is 10 times the standard dose, which aimed for a circuit concentration of amiodarone of 30 μg/mL, was administered at 48 hours to investigate the possibility of saturating the circuit. Samples were collected at 1, 5, 15, and 30 minutes and 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours; 24 hours 1 minute and 5 minutes; 25, 28, 29, and 30 hours; 30 hours 1 minute; 36 hours; 36 hours 1 minute; 42 hours; 42 hours 1, 5, and 30 minutes; 43, 46, 47, 48 hours; 48 hours 1, 5, 15, and 30 minutes; and 49, 50, 51, 52, 56, 60, and 72 hours. At times when dosing and sampling overlapped, the sample was collected immediately before dose administration.

After sample collection in the blood prime circuits, the blood was centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 minutes. The plasma was then pipetted into a cryovial, which was immediately placed into a −80°C freezer for storage. For the crystalloid experiments, centrifugation was not performed, and the samples were placed directly into a cryovial for storage at −80°C.

**Analysis**

Drug concentrations were measured by ARUP Laboratories (Salt Lake City, UT) using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) along with ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometry (25). Samples were prepared with .25 mL of plasma, 20 μL of an internal standard (2-ethyl-3-[3,5-dibromo-4-di-n-propylaminopepoxybenzoyl] benzo thiophene), and .2 mL of 1 M sodium phosphate monobasic. After mixing by a vortex, the samples were diluted with .5 mL of HPLC-grade methyl-t-butyl-ether and centrifuged. The mobile phase was composed of acetonitrile/methanol/.05M ammonium acetate at 40:56:3 v/v. The analytes were separated on a 50 × 4.6-mm Phenosphere Cyano 3-μ particle column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA) with a flow rate of .9 mL/min. Amiodarone concentration was determined by UV spectrophotometry at 242 nm. The quantitation range was .3–6.0 μg/mL.

Because of differences in the ECMO circuit volume, amiodarone concentrations varied slightly between experiments. Thus, the percentage of drug recovery was calculated for analysis using the following equation:

\[
\text{Recovery(\%)} = \frac{C_t}{C_i} \times 100
\]

where \(C_t\) is the concentration at time = \(t\) and \(C_i\) is the initial concentration at time = 1 minute. Data are reported as mean and 95% confidence interval.

**Ethics**

The Duke University Medical Center Institutional Review Board provided a waiver of review because the protocol met the definition of research not involving human subjects.

**RESULTS**

**Blood Prime Circuits**

All blood prime circuits showed low amiodarone recovery starting at 8 hours: The mean (95% confidence interval) recovery in the three complete circuits was 13.5% (11.8, 15.2; \(n = 3\)); mean recovery in the three oxygenator circuits was 22.1% (5.4, 38.8; \(n = 3\)); and mean recovery in the three pump circuits was 18.6% (12.3, 24.9; \(n = 3\)) (Figure 2). These levels are much lower than recovery in the crystalloid circuits. At 30 minutes, drug concentrations neared the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) at .3 μg/mL in all circuits, which corresponds to a mean recovery of 22.0% (16.8, 27.2; \(n = 3\)) (Figure 3). Over 24 hours, drug recovery decreased steadily in the controls.

**Crystalloid Prime Circuits**

There was comparably low recovery in the crystalloid prime circuits. At 30 minutes, drug concentrations neared the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) at .3 μg/mL in all circuits, which corresponds to a mean recovery of 22.0% (16.8, 27.2; \(n = 3\)) (Figure 3). After additional doses, drug concentrations continued to drop to the LLOQ in the circuits (Figure 4). This remained true following the massive dose, which was 10 times the standard dose, administered at 48 hours.

The crystalloid controls also experienced a loss in drug recovery, but this occurred more slowly than that in the circuits (Figure 3). Drug concentrations continued to drop following additional doses in the controls (Figure 4). However, following the massive dose at 48 hours, drug
concentrations remained at the upper limit of quantification (ULOQ) at 6.0 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \).

**DISCUSSION**

In vivo ECMO impacts drug exposure through its effect on the body and by direct interactions with the drug. It affects the body by increasing the volume of distribution because of circuit priming with large volumes of exogenous blood or crystalloid and physiologic changes such as inflammation (26,27). The ECMO circuit can also directly clear drug, as in hemofiltration (28,29). Lastly, nonspecific binding between the drug and circuit components can lead to drug extraction. This mainly depends on the physicochemical properties of the drug and circuit materials (30). These effects are further complicated by organ dysfunction in critically ill patients. The present ex vivo study demonstrates substantial amiodarone extraction in ECMO. These findings are expected because of amiodarone’s high lipophilicity (logP 7.6) and protein binding (96%), which are properties previously associated with drug extraction (7,9,22,31).

Notably, the rates at which amiodarone concentration in the three blood prime configurations reached the LLOQ were not uniform. At 8 hours, drug extraction was highest in the complete circuit and lowest in the pump circuit. Because the complete circuit has all three circuit components (the hemofilter, oxygenator, and tubing) and the pump circuit only has the ECMO tubing, these data suggest that all three components contribute to amiodarone extraction. Importantly, drug loss was also noted in the blood prime controls. But, it was substantially less than in the circuit configurations. There are a few possible reasons for drug loss in the controls, including 1) adsorption to the PVC of the control tubes, 2) plasma metabolism of amiodarone, and 3) drug degradation. Adsorption to the PVC is suggested by the observed extraction in the pump circuit, of which the only component is PVC tubing. However, nonspecific binding to the tubes should occur quickly, and
the observed decrease in drug concentration in the controls occurs at a slow rate throughout the duration of the experiments (32–34). This suggests that there may be another element at play. Amiodarone has an incredibly long half-life in the human body (up to 100 days). In addition, it is primarily eliminated via hepatic clearance, and it is not known to undergo plasma metabolism (21). Thus, in an ex vivo configuration, blood metabolism is not likely to have a significant influence on amiodarone concentration. Finally, amiodarone is known to degrade in light, and the control tubes were not protected from room lighting. Therefore, light degradation was likely the primary contributor to the observed drug loss (35). Future studies should address amiodarone extraction in light and dark environments.

The rapid drops in amiodarone concentration following each dose in the crystalloid prime circuits occurred much faster than those in the blood prime circuits. This suggests that the presence of blood protects amiodarone from extraction. This may be because of differences in the concentrations of the primary protein-binding partner of amiodarone, albumin. In a separate study, small increases in albumin concentrations were shown to protect miconafungin, another highly protein-bound drug, from extraction (8). Although equivalent amounts of exogenous albumin were added to both the blood prime and crystalloid prime circuits in this study, the blood also contained endogenous albumin. Thus, albumin concentrations in the crystalloid prime circuits were likely lower than those in the blood prime circuits, which would result in more unbound amiodarone in the crystalloid prime circuits. This increased amount of unbound drug further exposes it to extraction by the ECMO circuit, which helps explain the extremely rapid drops in amiodarone concentrations. Future studies should vary albumin concentrations to isolate its protective effects on amiodarone extraction.

Another common concern in ECMO is drug saturation to the circuit binding sites, after which additional doses would not be extracted (7). This concern is compounded when other lipophilic drugs are co-administered. However, because the rapid decrease in drug concentration continued following each additional amiodarone dose, particularly the massive dose given at 48 hours, saturation was not observed in the present study. Interestingly for the crystalloid prime controls, amiodarone concentrations remained at the ULOQ following the massive dose at 48 hours. There are two possible explanations for this: 1) saturation was achieved in the control tubes or, more likely, 2) there was not enough time for drug extraction to lower drug concentration within the limits of quantification.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the ULOQ prevented a thorough explanation of drug PK in the crystalloid prime controls following the massive dose. Second, the presence of the hemofilter shunt slightly alters flow in the complete circuits vs the oxygenator and pump circuits, which lack the shunt. The shunt has an ~10% recirculation rate and exposes circuit components to ~10% more flow in a complete circuit, which could contribute to the observed faster extraction rates in this configuration. A third limitation relates to the dosing regimen. Clinically, amiodarone is delivered to patients on ECMO via continuous infusions and intermittent boluses. However, in the present study, amiodarone was administered in discrete doses. A fourth limitation relates to other materials to which amiodarone may adhere. ECMO is known to induce cell fragmentation because of shear stress in the oxygenator (36). This fragmentation, and other potential phospholipid debris, may provide additional binding sites to which amiodarone may adhere. Additional work should analyze whether amiodarone is able to bind to such materials and to what extent this contributes to amiodarone bioavailability.

Figure 4. Drug concentration in the three crystalloid prime circuits in the oxygenator configuration dosed multiple times over 72 hours. A massive dose was administered at time = 48 hours. Of note, the lower and upper limits of quantitation were .3 and 6 mg/L, respectively.
Finally, previous studies have shown that different surface coatings of the circuit, which are added to increase biocompatibility, can have differing effects on drug extraction (37). Although the surface coating in this study was phosphorylcholine, several other types of coatings are used in standard practice. Thus, different surface coatings should be investigated to increase the clinical relevance of the study.

In summary, the present study suggests that the ECMO circuit heavily extracts amiodarone from circulation. This may result in significantly less drug exposure in vivo and necessitate dosing modifications to achieve a therapeutic effect. However, this study alone does not provide sufficient data to accurately predict optimal amiodarone dosing in vivo as drug disposition in critically ill patients on ECMO is multifactorial. Physiologically based PK (PBPK) models can translate ECMO ex vivo results into bedside dosing recommendations (38). PBPK models are mathematical tools that integrate drug-specific (e.g., metabolism and protein binding) and population-specific (e.g., organ size and blood flow) information to predict the effect of different factors (e.g., age, genetic variants, and disease) on drug exposure (39,40). These models are structured in a physiologically relevant manner with virtual organ compartments connected by blood flow. Each virtual “organ” is parameterized with mass-balance differential equations characterizing the disposition of drug within the compartment. To model drug exposure in populations on ECMO, an ECMO “organ” can be linked to the PBPK model and parameterized using data from ex vivo studies. By defining the volume, blood flows, and drug clearance of the ECMO compartment, the impact of ECMO on drug disposition can be predicted in vivo, and the ECMO PBPK model can be used to determine optimal dosing in this vulnerable population.
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